Irene Schneider

The Petitioning System in Iran

State, Society and Power Relations in the Late 19th Century

2006

Harrassowitz Verlag · Wiesbaden

Contents

VI Contents

Analysis of the Contents of the Petitions	56
The Legal Cases Contained in the Petitions	56
Civil Cases	56
Criminal Cases	57
Jurisdictional Institutions Involved	59
Decisions of the Shāh	61
Orders to State Officials	61
Complaints against and Satisfaction with State Officials and Powerful Persons	65
Requests	70
Requests for Money	71
Requests for Jobs	72
Requests for Tax Exemption	72
Other Cases	73
Social Profile of the Petitioners	73
Single Petitions/Group Petitions	73
Sex	74
Religion	76
Town/Village	76
Social Groups and Professions	77
Chapter 3: State, Society and Power Relations	0.2
in Early 14th/Late 19th-Century Iran	82
The Role of the $maz\bar{a}lim$ Institution in the Q $\bar{a}j\bar{a}r$ Political System	82
Foucault's Concept of Power and Power Relations between the Ruler and the Ruled in the Context of the Petitioning System of 13 th /19 th	
Century in Iran	90
Power Relations within the Political System	
According to the Petitions	95
The Subjects' Perception of the Petitioning System	98
Conclusion	102
Chapter 4: Petitions and Reports from the Provinces to the Shāh.	107
Rabīʿ al-avval 1301/December 1883–January 1884	106
Folio № 0/MF 2929 ¹ /355	106
Folio № 1/MF 2929/356	111

Contents	VII
Folio № 2/MF 2929/357	119
Folio № 3/MF 2929/358	121
Folio № 4/MF 2929/359	128
Folio № 5/MF 2929/360	132
Folio № 6/MF 2929/361	136
Folio № 7/MF 2929/362	141
Folio № 8/MF 2929/363	145
Folio № 9/MF 2929/364	150
Folio № 10/MF 2929/365	154
Folio № 11/MF 2929/366	161
Folio № 12/MF 2929/368	176
Folio № 13/MF 2929/369	181
Folio № 14/MF 2929/370	187
Folio № 15/MF 2929/372	191
Folio № 16/MF 2929/373	196
Appendix: Persons	204
Index of Terms	215
Index of Places and Tribes	219
Bibliography	221
Facsimiles	227

Introduction

Description of the Collection and the Microfilm 2929

The collection upon which this publication is based is preserved on microfilm 2929, which belongs to the Microfilm Department of the Central Library of the University of Tehran. In Fibrist-i mīkrūfīlmhā-yi Kitābhāna-yi Markazī-yi Dānišgāh-i Tihrān¹ this microfilm is inaptly described as Yādāshthā-yi Nāsir al-Dīn Shāh. The microfilm contains about 2000 documents. These documents are summaries of petitions to Nāsīr al-Dīn Shāh, reports, answers and copies,² and are dated from 1301/1883 to 1303/1886. Adamiyyat and Nātio (1977) have cited some of the petitions preserved on the microfilm. Since they only present a small number of the petitions in their book without referring to the microfilm, there is no absolute certainty that the collection they used is identical with the one I shall be presenting and discussing here. ADAMIYYAT and NATIO describe the collection as consisting of 2016 items dated between 1300/1882 and 1303/ 1886.5 Furthermore, they point to another much smaller collection containing petitions from 1304-1306/1886-1888, also not documented on the microfilm.6 It is conceivable that Adamiyyat and Natio had access to the original collection, which contained more material than is on the microfilm.

ETTEHADIEH (1989) deals with the *mazālim* system and the petitions to Nāṣir al-Dīn Shāh in a short article entitled "The Council for the Investigation of Grievances: a Case Study of Nineteenth-Century Iranian Social History." She does not refer to Ādamiyyat's and Nāṭiq's book, but bases her account on a collection of 1836 petitions, which according to her were preserved in the Central Library of the University of Tehran. She mentions another collection in the Malik Library. The collection upon which ETTEHADIEH bases her study is identical with the documents on microfilm 2929, which also constitutes the basis of the present study. She compiled a statistical table on the locations of

- 1 Dānishpažūh 1969, p. 275.
- 2 For an exact description of these documents see pp. 39–52.
- 3 Folio 7 of the sample published here should, however, be dated to the year 1300/1882–1883, see p. 141, n. 38.
- 4 ĀDAMIYYAT/NĀŢIQ 1977, p. 376, n. 3; p. 377, n. 2.
- 5 ĀDAMIYYAT/NĀŢIQ 1977, pp. 375-413.
- 6 FLOOR 1983a, p. 122, mentions the collection with reference to ĀDAMIYYAT/NĀŢIQ.
- 7 Ettehadieh 1989, p. 52.

2 Introduction

the petitions and used both formal criteria and content to categorise them.⁸ Although Sheikholeslami mentions the existence of the microfilm in his book *The Structure of Central Authority in Qajar Iran*,⁹ he did not examine the petitions. Thus, even though this collection has been known for some time, it has only been dealt with marginally and is yet to be subjected to a thorough analysis.

Since the original documents have obviously been lost, microfilm no. 2929 gains the status of an "original" document and needs to be examined in detail. The microfilm is in very poor condition. A copy of it was made for me, which is of even poorer quality. The film has been cut several times and stuck together without maintaining the numerical or chronological order of the folios. The microfilm starts with several undated documents, followed by some numbered folios containing the summaries of petitions from Shavvāl 1303/July 1886. It ends with several petitions from Rabīʿ al-avval 1302/December 1884. When I visited Tehran in the summer of 2002, this old microfilm had disappeared, only to be replaced by a new copy. The order of the documents had once again been slightly changed, most likely due to pasting together the torn up parts into a different sequence before making the new copy. The documents on the microfilm are not numbered continuously, so that locating documents on the microfilm can prove a difficult task.

The headlines of some of the folios state that the petitions summarised on the folios came from the provinces of Iran. Some of the folios are dated with the month and/or the year, others are undated. Fortunately, it was not difficult to reconstruct the largest section of the original chronological order covered by the documents. The places where the segments of film were stuck together were easily identifiable and the proper order of the segments determinable by the dates found on the folios in each segment. Wherever undated folios were found among a number of dated folios, I assumed that those undated folios would date from the same month as the neighbouring folios, unless I found an indication to the contrary. There are, however, samples of undated folios, e.g. at the beginning of the microfilm. The folios contain between 4 and sometimes more than 20 summaries of petitions, reports, answers, and copies mostly arranged in one or two rows. The handwriting is mostly shikasta and difficult to read. I detected several different handwritings, displaying variations in size, style and legibility. The Shāh's ruling is usually written in the margin next to the summary of the petition, or space allowing, directly underneath in a handwriting that is even more difficult to decipher.

⁸ Еттенадіен 1989, рр. 54–56.

⁹ Sheikholeslami 1997, p. 69, n. 19. While mentioning the microfilm he also states that only 800 documents are preserved on it.