Grover Hudson

Northeast African Semitic: Lexical Comparisons and Analysis

2013

Harrassowitz Verlag · Wiesbaden

CONTENTS

Preface ix							
1	BACKGRO	OUND	1				
1.1	1.1 Semitic languages of northeast Africa						
1.2							
1.3	ESe d	iversity	2				
1.4	•						
1.5							
	1.5.1	Tigre	9				
	1.5.2	Dahalik	9				
	1.5.3	Tigrinya	10				
	1.5.4	Ge ^e ez	10				
	1.5.5	Gafat	10				
	1.5.6	Soddo	11				
	1.5.7	Dobbi	11				
	1.5.8	Gogot	11				
	1.5.9	Galila	12				
	1.5.10	Mesqan	12				
	1.5.11	Urib	13				
	1.5.12	Muher	13				
	1.5.13	Ezha	13				
	1.5.14	Chaha	13				
	1.5.15	Gura	14				
	1.5.16	Gumer	14				
	1.5.17	Inor	14				
	1.5.18	Meger	15				
	1.5.19	Ener	15				
	1.5.20	Gyeta	15				
	1.5.21	Indeganya	16				
	1.5.22	Mesmes	16				
	1.5.23	Inneqor	16				
	1.5.24	Ulbareg	16				
	1.5.25	Silt'e	17				
	1.5.26	Welene	17				
	1.5.27	Zay	18				
	1.5.28	Harari	18				
	1.5.29	Argobba	18				
	1.5.30	Amharic	19 20				
	1.5.51	OTHER SERVICE SDEECH OF HORIDEAST A MICA	_ZU				

vi Contents

1.6	Gurage				
	1.6.1	Gurage membership	20		
	1.6.2	Sebat Bet Gurage	21		
	1.6.3	M. Cohen's Gurage	22		
	1.6.4	Leslau's Gurage	24		
	1.6.5	Hetzron's Gurage	27		
1.7	Mutua	al intelligibility testing	27		
	1.7.1	Highland East Cushitic	27		
	1.7.2	ESe: EA. Gutt 1980	28		
	1.7.3	ESe: C. Ahland 2003	29		
1.8	Lexico	ostatistics: Bender 1971	30		
1.9		n ESe languages	34		
1.10		age classification and subclassification	36		
	1.10.1	Language families	36		
	1.10.2	Semitic	37		
	1.10.3	ESe	38		
	1.10.4	Evidence for subclassification	43		
	1.10.4.1	Innovations	43		
	1.10.4.2	Sound change	43		
	1.10.4.3	Grammatical morphology: Hetzron 1972	44		
	1.10.4.4	Lexicostatistics	47		
	1.10.4.4.		47		
	1.10.4.4.		48		
	1.10.4.4.		49		
	1.10.4.4.		50		
	1.10.5	Lexicostatistic margin of error	53		
2	LEXICAL	COMPARISONS	57		
2.1		omparisons	57		
2.2		ordlist	58		
2.3		natory notes on the comparisons	61		
	2.3.1	Gaps in the data	61		
	2.3.2	Phonetic writing	62		
	2.3.3	Dictionary of words of the comparisons	63		
	2.3.4	Variant forms.	63		
	2.3.5	Judgement of cognates	63		
	2.3.6	Proto-language reconstructions	63		
	2.3.7	Synonyms	64		
	2.3.8	Borrowings	65		
2.4		hypotheses of the research	67		
2.5		es for comparisons	68		
2.6					

Contents vii

3 DICTIONARY 10							
3.1	Exp	lanatory notes on dictionary entries	105				
3.2	-	previations used in dictionary entries					
3.3		words of the Tables of Comparison					
3.4		ndexes to the dictionary					
	3.4.1	Seven lists	237				
	3.4.2	Proto-Semitic cognates	237				
	3.4.3	Proto-Agaw cognates	245				
	3.4.4	Proto-East Cushitic cognates					
	3.4.5		251				
	3.4.6		254				
	3.4.7	Cognates shared by ESe and proto-languages	272				
	3.4.8	ESe words having Agaw cognates					
4	FINDIN	GS AND ANALYSIS	279				
4.1		erview					
4.2		nbers of shared cognates in the 250-word list					
4.3		its unique to ESe and ESe subgroups					
4.4		to-ESe lexical innovations					
4.5		-Agaw cognates.					
4.6		to-language cognates					
1.0	4.6.1	Borrowing or inheritance?					
	4.6.2	Proto-Semitic cognates					
	4.6.3	Proto-Agaw cognates					
	4.6.4	Proto-East Cushitic cognates					
	4.6.5	Estimating ESe-Afroasiatic inheritance					
5	Refer	ENCES	299				
Ind	lex		315				
		Maps, Tables, & Tree Diagrams					
Ma	p 1	Approximate centers of territory of 15 ESe languages	8				
Maj	p 2	'Éléments de population de la région gouragué' (Cohen 1931)					
Ma		'Gurage and the neighboring languages' (Leslau 1979)					
Map 4		'Gurage speech varieties' (M. Ahland 2010)					
Table 1		Independent pronouns of 5 varieties each of Modern Aramaic and Modern ESe	3				
Table 2		Independent pronouns of 5 varieties of Modern Arabic					
Table 3		Percent cognates shared by 6 Semitic languages in a 100-word list	5				
Table 4		Number of cognates shared by 5 Semitic languages in 71 septuplets of Luke 7, 1-19					
Table 5		Six Versions of Sebat Bet Gurage					
		G					

viii Contents

Table 6 Mut		ual intelligibility scores among 8 ESe speech varieties	28
Table 7 Mu		ual intelligibility scores among 'Gurage' varieties	30
Table 8	Perce	ent cognates shared by 15 ESe varieties in a 98-word list	32
Table 9	Root	and pattern morphology in 4 Semitic languages	37
Table 10 Su		ect affixes of the nonpast & past in 6 Semitic languages	37
Table 11	A an	d B-type verbs in 3 ESe languages	40
Table 12	Sing	ular independent pronouns of 15 ESe Languages	41
Table 13	Mair	n verb suffixes in Gogot, Muher, and Arabic	46
Table 14	Perce	ent cognates shared by 8 ESe languages in a basic wordlist	49
Table 15	Perce	ent cognates shared by 3 ESe languages in a basic wordlist	50
Table 16	Perc	ent shared cognates of 15 ESe varieties in a basic wordlist	51
Table 17	Com	parison of percent cognates in Cohen 1961 & Bender 1971	53
Table 18	Com	parison of percent cognates in Bender 1966, 1968, & 1971	54
Table 19	Num	iber of cognates shared by 14 ESe languages; 250-word list	279
Table 20	Perce	ent cognates shared by 13 ESe languages; 98-word list	280
Table 21	Num	iber of cognates shared by ESe languages and 3 proto-languages	291
Table 22	Num	ber of ESe cognates shared by 2 proto-languages	296
Tree Diagra	ım 1	Hetzron's ESe	45
Tree Diagram 2		Language family A and its subgroups	47
Tree Diagra	ım 3	Hetzron's ESe with percent cognates shared by pairs of	
		languages (98-word list)	55
Tree Diagra	ım 4	Hetzron's ESe with number of cognates shared by pairs of	
		languages (250-word list with synonyms)	282
Tree Diagra	ım 5	Revised ESe family tree with number of cognates shared by	
		pairs of languages	283
Tree Diagra	ım 6	Revised ESe family tree with number of cognates unique to groups	285
Tree Diagram 7		Revised ESe family tree with 5 subgroups	289

Preface

The first inspiration of this book was a question I have often heard: 'How many languages are there in Ethiopia?' Linguists are fond of answering such questions with a sigh, saying something like 'Well, it's hard to say', when the better answer, certainly as more satisfactory to the questioner, would be 'Probably about X' where X is a number reasonably derived from research.

People want linguists to tell them something about what they consider a reasonable measure of human diversity: number of languages. Linguists, however, often seem to prefer to minimize the significance and even good sense of this question, and, indeed, it concerns a complicated and difficult matter. But we shouldn't think the complications are as interesting or helpful to the questioner as would be (at least at the outset) a simple answer, if an estimate. So this book begins by offering a review of research which can answer the question for Semitic languages of northeast Africa, and goes on to present and interpret lexical evidence about these languages and language-group relations.

The geography of linguistic diversity can be critical evidence in the reconstruction of human prehistory, so the willingness of linguists to examine the question of number of languages, in northeast Africa and elsewhere, is important for our ability to understand present-day issues which have their origin in prehistory. It seems reasonable to suppose that northeast Africa, as the region of contact between Africa and the East, and Europe, has particular modern relevance for linguistic prehistory.

This is not the place to argue about what is the best evidence for linguistic subgrouping: whether this is shared innovations in the sound system, grammar, or lexicon; of course the evidence of this book must be seen as support for the third of these. Only lexical comparisons can be readily quantified, and as such provide unambiguous evidence for not just difference between languages but degree of difference, as an objective and comparative measure of the extent of linguistic diversity.

Compared to the evidence of sound change, which is usually fraught with difficult-to-recognize exceptions, and compared to the evidence of grammatical change (for example of Hetzron 1972), the significance of which as indicative of critically innovative change is usually controversial, the lexical evidence for subgrouping is at least usually better understood and more readily subject to checking and evaluation. Importantly also, the lexical evidence contributes not just hypotheses for subgrouping, but *quantified* hypotheses significant for degrees of relationship in subgrouping. The lexicon (more specifically the lexical as opposed to the grammatical morphology) concerns words and morphemes relatively numerous in relation to points of comparison in the sound system and grammar, which, problematically, are subject to the analogical and systemic pressures of paradigms. The lexicon is unquestionably the domain of language in which change is so possible and so frequent that the innovative changes critical for subgrouping have a good degree of likelihood.

Of course one has to be selective in accessing the lexical data: the raw comparisons and previously offered etymologies, which are already vast and always under revision. I hope my selections will be thought reasonable if not entirely sufficient. One has to weigh the extent of data-coverage against available time, and available time can only be very subjectively known. And, critically, one has to avoid too much imagination when deciding

x Contents

whether to count words as cognate. Moreover, the raw lexical evidence, cognate comparison sets when these are numerous and detailed enough to enable sorting into the many environments relevant for sound change, is simultaneously evidence for the study of sound change, research which so far is insufficiently carried out in these languages. The tables of comparison below (§2.6) and additional comparisons presented in dictionary entries (§3.3) present several hundred such cognate comparison sets.

I want to call attention to the great contribution in the present work of the dictionaries of my teacher Wolf Leslau, especially his *Etymological Dictionary of Gurage* (1979) and *Comparative Dictionary of Ge'ez* (1987) (for full bibliographical information see §5). I once proposed the present project to Professor Leslau as a work of co-authorship, but unfortunately it didn't progress quickly enough on my part to have the benefit of his participation (had he been willing, indeed). The present work would surely have greatly benefitted, too, from consultation with two friends and colleagues whose foundational contributions must also be frequently apparent below, Robert Hetzron and Lionel Bender. I have deeply felt the absence of opportunity for their advice and criticism.

Very fortunately the publisher of this book is Professor Leslau's longtime publisher, Harrassowitz Verlag, with their unequaled skill and great experience in presenting Semitic linguistic scholarship. I owe thanks also to series editors Werner Diem and Lutz Edzard for accepting this book and waiting patiently, for several years, for it to be finished. I call to the attention of others what all Ethiopianists must know by now, that works like the present would be much more difficult and much less complete without the profound and thorough resource which is the *Encyclopaedia Aethiopica*, also from Harrassowitz. We are in great debt to Professor Siegbert Uhlig and his team for realizing that ambitious and invaluable project.

As expression of thanks for the help I have had from other colleagues too many to name, perhaps it suffices to mention the organizer-hosts of two series of academic meetings the scholarly constancy and value of which have seemed to me critical to promoting and advancing knowledge of Afroasiatic linguistics: the North American Conference on Afroasiatic Linguistics (NACAL), and the Italian Meetings of Hamito-Semitic / Afroasiatic Linguistics. It is easy to overlook the importance of these meetings for the professional contacts, intellectual stimulus, and broad sense of the field which they make possible.

Reprinted by permission of SIL International is Map 4 (p. 26), from *Language Death* in Mesmes: a Sociolinguistic and Historical-Comparative Examination of a Disappearing Ethiopian-Semitic Language, 2010, by Michael Ahland, Dallas: SIL International.

Grover Hudson East Lansing, Michigan, July 2013