ABHANDLUNGEN FÜR DIE KUNDE DES MORGENLANDES

Im Auftrag der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft herausgegeben von Florian C. Reiter

Band 105

Board of Advisers:

Christian Bauer (Berlin)

Desmond Durkin-Meisterernst (Berlin)

Lutz Edzard (Oslo/Erlangen)

Jürgen Hanneder (Marburg)

Herrmann Jungraithmayr (Marburg)

Karénina Kollmar-Paulenz (Bern)

Jens Peter Laut (Göttingen)

Joachim Friedrich Quack (Heidelberg)

Florian C. Reiter (Berlin)

Michael Streck (Leipzig)

2016

Harrassowitz Verlag · Wiesbaden

Silje Susanne Alvestad

The Uppsala Manuscript of Muḥammed Hevā'ī Üskūfī Bosnevī's Maķbūl-i 'ārif (1631) from a Turcological Perspective:

Transliteration, Transcription, and an English Translation

2016

Harrassowitz Verlag · Wiesbaden

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.dnb.de abrufbar.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the internet at http://dnb.dnb.de.

For further information about our publishing program consult our website http://www.harrassowitz-verlag.de

© Deutsche Morgenländische Gesellschaft 2016
This work, including all of its parts, is protected by copyright.
Any use beyond the limits of copyright law without the permission of the publisher is forbidden and subject to penalty. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations, microfilms and storage and processing in electronic systems.

Printed on permanent/durable paper. Printing and binding: Hubert & Co., Göttingen

Printed in Germany

ISSN 0567-4980 ISBN 978-3-447-10635-1

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	VII
SUMMARY	IX
INTRODUCTION	1
Background, motivation, and objectives	
Structure of the thesis	5
THEORY AND METHOD	7
Introductory remarks	
Theoretical issues	
Muḥammed Hevāʾī Üskūfī Bosnevī: Who was he?	7
Makbūl-i 'ārif [Dear to the Wise]: Structure and contents	11
Manuscripts of Makbūl-i 'ārif in general, the Uppsala manuscript	
in particular	19
What's in a name? An excursus on Potur Šahidija/Potur Šahidi	
[Potur Şāhidī]	21
Methodological issues	
Transliteration	
Transcription	
English translation	27
O. NOVA 546: TRANSLITERATION, TRANSCRIPTION,	
AND AN ENGLISH TRANSLATION	
Introductory remarks	
Part I, the foreword	
Part II, the versified glossary	
Part III, the afterword	114
THE TURKISH VARIETY IN <i>MAĶBŪL-İ ʿĀRIF</i> :	
BALKAN TURKIC OR OTTOMAN?	116
Introductory remarks	116
The Turkish lexicon in the versified glossary	
as compared to the foreword and the afterword	116
Archaic and/or dialect features of the Turkish varieties	
represented in Makbūl-i 'ārif	119

VI Contents

CONCLUSION: SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK 1	121
Summary1	121
Future outlook	
References 1	125
Dictionaries	129
Online newspaper articles1	130
Appendix 1: O. nova 546: The Uppsala manuscript of Makbūl-i ʿārif 1	131
Appendix 2: Glossary of words from the afterword of Makbūl-i 'ārif 1	161
Appendix 3: The Bosnian variety represented in the Uppsala manuscript: ikavian forms	162
Appendix 4: The Bosnian variety represented in the Uppsala manuscript: (i)jekavian forms	163
Appendix 5: Representation(s) of a selection of Bosnian phonemes in the versified glossary part of the Uppsala manuscript	164

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Having at long last come to the end of this writing journey I first and foremost wish to extend my gratitude to my supervisor, Bernt Brendemoen, Professor of Turcology at the University of Oslo, for all the time, energy, and resources he has devoted to me and my work with this thesis. I wish to thank him for sharing his knowledge with me. His comments, advice, and patience have been invaluable and without him my task would have been much more difficult to undertake.

I also want to thank Svein Mønnesland, Professor Emeritus of Slavic languages at the University of Oslo, for drawing my attention to Makbūl-i 'ārif in the first place, for giving me his first copy of the 2011 edition of the work, for taking the time to meet with me whenever I have had any questions, and for encouraging me along the way. The copy of the Uppsala manuscript, titled O. nova 546, in Appendix 1 was given to him by the staff at Uppsala University Library and is given here with his and the library's permission. Furthermore, I am grateful to Lutz Edzard, Professor of Semitic Linguistics at the University of Oslo and the University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, for his valuable comments, his encouragement, and our collaboration. I am also thankful to Birsel Karakoç, Associate Professor in Turkic languages at the University of Uppsala, and Robert Dankoff, Professor of Turkish at the University of Chicago, for valuable comments on the word hücec. Thanks are also due to Mehmet Bilgin for his comments on the word potur. Furthermore, I am grateful to all the people at the Department of Culture Studies and Oriental Languages at the University of Oslo who made my work with my thesis easier and even more enjoyable.

I also wish to extend my gratitude to my evaluation committee, consisting of Birsel Karakoç and Kjetil Rå Hauge, Associate Professor Emeritus of Central European and Balkan Studies at the University of Oslo. Their comments contributed to further improvements of my work.

Next, I am utmost grateful to Barbara Krauss and her colleagues at Harrassowitz Verlag for their willingness to publish my work in this series and for their help and support in the process of revision.

Finally, I want to thank my boyfriend, Per-Helge, for truly living up to his first name and being my rock. This work is dedicated to him.

Needless to say, any errors in this work are my own.

Oslo, February 2016

Silje Susanne Alvestad

SUMMARY

The object of study in this thesis is the Uppsala manuscript, O. nova 546, of Muḥammed Hevāʾī Üskūfī Bosnevī's literary work *Makbūl-i ʿārif* from 1631. The manuscript, handwritten in Ottoman script, reached the University Library in Uppsala from Cairo in 1924. *Makbūl-i ʿārif* is frequently referred to as the first known Bosnian-Turkish dictionary, but this label is misleading. First, the work consists of three parts—a long and sophisticated foreword and an afterword in addition to the dictionary part. Besides, the part of the work that is the cause of the unfortunate label is not a 'dictionary' in the modern sense of the word. Among other things, it is versified, dialogue-oriented, and split into chapters according to a hierarchically structured set of different topics. The versified glossary is the only part where we find Bosnian words; approximately 650.

The motivation behind this thesis is twofold. First, Makbūl-i 'ārif has received little attention from a turcological perspective. Considering the fact that Makbūl-i 'ārif is a Turkish, or Ottoman Turkish literary work of art, it is striking to note that the vast majority of researchers concerned with the work examine it from a Bosnian cultural and/or linguistic perspective. Üskūfī refers to 'the Bosnian language', so the widespread interest should perhaps be seen in light of a larger Bosnian nation-building project after the fall of Yugoslavia. However, it is time that Makbūl-i 'ārif receives its fair share of attention from a turcological point of view too.

The second motivation is as follows. In the wake of the renewed attention drawn to the Uppsala manuscript of <code>Makbūl-i</code> 'ārif by Svein Mønnesland in 2010, a new edition of <code>Makbūl-i</code> 'ārif was published in Tuzla, Bosnia, in 2011 (Kasumović & Mønnesland 2011). One would expect, then, that the transcription provided would be based on the Uppsala manuscript, but this expectation is not entirely borne out. Instead the transcription given is for the most part based on works by previous scholars who have had several manuscripts of <code>Makbūl-i</code> 'ārif at their disposal, but not the Uppsala manuscript. There are therefore several divergences between the transcription given in Kasumović & Mønnesland (2011) and the Uppsala manuscript.

Against the background of this twofold motivation, my contributions with this thesis are as follows. First, I provide a grapheme-by-grapheme transliteration of the entire Uppsala manuscript. Second, I give a transcription of the manuscript and, third, I provide an English translation of the entire *Makbūl-i ʿārif*. None of these enterprises have as yet been undertaken. The English translation may serve as a starting point to make *Makbūl-i ʿārif* available to a wider audience.